

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,806	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(3) _____.

 (2) Stephen Ackerman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 1930
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner proposed language to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)